



TITLE:

A Dynamic Model Describing Heterotrophic Cultures of \$Chlorella\$ and Its Stability Analysis (Progress in Qualitative Theory of Functional Equations)

AUTHOR(S):

Zhang, Yan; Ma, Wanbiao; Yan, Hai; Takeuchi,
Yasuhiro

CITATION:

Zhang, Yan ...[et al]. A Dynamic Model Describing Heterotrophic Cultures of \$Chlorella\$ and Its Stability Analysis (Progress in Qualitative Theory of Functional Equations). 数理解析研究所講究録 2012, 1786: 1-15

ISSUE DATE:

2012-03

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/172759>

RIGHT:

A Dynamic Model Describing Heterotrophic Cultures of *Chlorella* and Its Stability Analysis

Yan Zhang¹, Wanbiao Ma¹, Hai Yan² and Yasuhiro Takeuchi³

¹Department of Applied Mathematics

University of Science and Technology Beijing, CHINA

²Department of Biological Science and Technology

University of Science and Technology Beijing, CHINA

³Graduate School of Science and Technology, Faculty of Engineering
Shizuoka University, JAPAN

1 Introduction

Chlorella is an important species of microorganism, which includes about 10 species (see, for example, [1]). A single *Chlorella* cell is of sphere with the diameter from $2\mu\text{m}$ to $12\mu\text{m}$. *Chlorella* has the following characteristics. (a) It grows quickly and can efficiently photosynthesize. (b) It includes rich protein, highly unsaturated fatty acids, carotenoid, lutein, astaxanthin and a variety of vitamins (see, for example, [1], [24] - [26] and [28]). In particular, lutein in *Chlorella* has the functions of restraining the decreasing of sight and the blindness caused by age and of inhibiting on the growth of tumor etc. (see, for example, [7], [8], [9], [11] and [14]). In addition, *Chlorella* also contains *Chlorella* growth factor which has the effect of increasing immune response of T cells and B cells. *Chlorella* also has the effect of decomposing and eliminating harmful substances in environment (see, for example, [6] and [21]). Furthermore, *Chlorella* has the effect of absorbing the heavy metal copper etc.. Hence, in environmental science field, *Chlorella* is usually used to remove organic pollutants and heavy metals (see, for example, [22] and [23]).

1.1 Culture of *Chlorella*

The culture of *Chlorella* is divided into autotrophic culture and heterotrophic culture. Heterotrophic culture is divided into batch culture, feed-batch culture and continuous culture. Batch culture is extensively used in applications. In batch culture, microorganisms are put into the culture vessel which contains certain nutrients, under appropriate temperature, salinity and pH. Then, after a period of time of culture, the microorganisms in the culture vessel are harvested one-time. Batch culture is simple, but it is usually difficult to achieve higher biomass of microorganisms. Furthermore, the increasing of the

initial concentration of nutrients may result in the inhibition on the growth of microorganisms. In feed-batch culture, nutrients are added into the culture vessel for every fixed time. Hence, feed-batch culture can reduce the inhibition on the growth of microorganisms and achieve higher biomass of microorganisms. Continuous culture is divided into semi-continuous culture and continuous culture. Semi-continuous culture is that nutrients are added into the culture vessel and, at the same time, microorganism is harvested for every fixed time. In continuous culture, nutrients are put into the culture vessel continuously and, at the same time, microorganisms flow out continuously. Hence, a balance between the inflow rate and the outflow rate can be maintained. Furthermore, continuous culture can also decrease the inhibition on the growth of microorganism caused by higher concentration of nutrients and accumulation of harmful substances in the culture vessel.

1.2 Heterotrophic culture of *Chlorella* sp. USTB01

It has been found that some species of *Chlorella* can not only be cultured in suitable organisms even in the absence of light (see, for example, [4] and [19]), but also contain richer lutein (see, for example, [4], [12] and [17]). Usually, carbon source and nitrogen source are main nutrients in culture of *Chlorella*. In [15], [16] and [20], the impact of concentration of glucose on the growth of *Chlorella* has been studied. However, till now, there are few species of *Chlorella* which can grow quickly (see, for example, [10], [13], [24] and [26]).

Recently, a species of *Chlorella*, named *Chlorella* sp. USTB01, which can be cultured quickly by the method of heterotrophic culture has been successfully sieved out from Qinghe river in Beijing (see, for example, [24]). Then, the impact of carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio on the growth of *Chlorella* sp. USTB01 has also been investigated in details in [20], [24] and [25]. In the experiments, nitrogen sources are urea, ammonium chloride and potassium nitrate, and the culture time is limited to 36 hours. The experimental data show that, while carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is 20:1 and nitrogen source is ammonium chloride, the biomass of *Chlorella* sp. USTB01 in the culture vessel is higher (see Fig.1), but the protein contained is lower. If carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is still 20:1, but nitrogen source is replaced by potassium nitrate, then, the biomass of *Chlorella* sp. USTB01 in the culture vessel is not higher, but the protein contained is higher. Furthermore, if carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is 10:1 and nitrogen source is ammonium chloride, the biomass of *Chlorella* USTB01 in the culture vessel is higher, and the protein contained is also higher.

The purpose of the paper is to model the growth of *Chlorella* USTB01 by constructing a dynamic model described by differential equations and to predict the growth status of *Chlorella* sp. USTB01 for longer culture time. The organization of the paper is as follows.

In Section 2, firstly, standard Chemostat models are introduced. Secondly, a dynamic model governed by differential equations is proposed based on standard Chemostat models and the experimental data obtained for heterotrophic culture of *Chlorella* sp. USTB01. Thirdly, for the model, global existence and nonnegativity of the solutions with appropriate initial conditions and classification of the equilibria are discussed. In Section 3, local and global asymptotic stability of two classes of the equilibria (i.e., *Chlorella*-free equilibrium and the equilibria for which *Chlorella*, carbon sources and nitrogen sources are coexistent) of the model in Section 2 are discussed by stability theory of differential equations. In Section 4, the parameters in the model in Section 2 are determined according to the experimental data and then numerical simulations are carried out. The numerical simulations suggest the following interesting facts. (i) The trajectories of the model in Section 2 fit the experimental data very well. (ii) *Chlorella* sp. USTB01 is in the exponential growth phase from 36 hours to 40 hours. (iii) If the culture time is extended to 60 hours, the biomass of *Chlorella* sp. USTB01 in the culture vessel can reach at least 95% of the theoretical biomass.

2 Dynamic model

2.1 Chemostat models

Dynamical models which describe continuous culture of microorganisms are also called Chemostat models (see, for example, [18]). Chemostat models can be used to the researches on the growth of algae and plankton in lakes and oceans, as well as the growth of endangered species. The standard Chemostat model with a single limiting resource and a single microorganism is usually described by the following differential equations,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{S}(t) = D(S_0 - S) - \delta^{-1}\mu(S)X, \\ \dot{X}(t) = (\mu(S) - D)X, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $S(t)$ and $X(t)$ are the concentrations of the limiting resource (substrate) and microorganism at time t , respectively. $S_0 > 0$ denotes the concentration of input limiting resource and is assumed to be constant. The constant $D > 0$ is the dilution rate. The death rate of microorganism is assumed to be insignificant compared to the dilution rate and is ignored. The function $\mu(S)$ denotes how X consumes S and is called the uptake function.

In most cases, $\mu(S)$ is chosen as Monod (1950) function, that is $\mu(S) = \mu_m S / (K_m + S)$, where μ_m is the maximum growth rate of microorganism, $K_m > 0$ is the Michaelis-Menten (or half-saturation) constant.

2.2 Dynamical model in the heterotrophic culture of *Chlorella*

Chlorella sp. USTB01 (*Chlorella* in short hereafter) has a strong ability in the utilization of organic compounds and includes rich protein (see, for example, [24]). In this subsection, based on the basic Chemostat model (2.1) and the experimental data in [24] in the heterotrophic culture of *Chlorella*, a dynamical model is proposed.

Let us first look back the experimental procedures in [24]. (i) First, an appropriate amount of *Chlorella* is added into the culture vessel which has definite volume and is fed with an appropriate amount of carbon source and nitrogen source. (ii) Then, after the beginning of the culture, an appropriate amount of carbon source and nitrogen source are added into the culture vessel in batches (every 6 hours), and the quantity of the carbon source and nitrogen source added are dependent on the consumption of the carbon source and nitrogen source and the biomass of *Chlorella* in the culture vessel. Furthermore, the quantity of the carbon source and nitrogen source added every 6 hours is not less than the quantity added at the beginning of the experiment, and proportional to the biomass of *Chlorella* in culture vessel (see Fig 1). (iii) The culture time is limited 36 hours and the outflow of carbon source, nitrogen source and *Chlorella* in the culture vessel are not considered. (iv) The experimental data show that the mortality of *Chlorella* in the culture vessel increases as the biomass of *Chlorella* increases (i.e., product inhibition). Hence, the growth of *Chlorella* in the culture vessel is density-dependent.

Let $X(t)$, $C(t)$ and $N(t)$ denote the mass of *Chlorella*, carbon source and nitrogen source, respectively, in the culture vessel at time t . We would point out here that, for simplicity, it is assumed that input of carbon source and nitrogen source is continuous. Hence, the dynamic model describing the growth of *Chlorella* in the heterotrophic culture can be expressed as following,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X} = \theta_1(r\mu_1(C)\mu_2(N) - d_1 - fX)X, \\ \dot{C} = \theta_2(\alpha X + a - d_2C - \frac{X}{\delta_1}r_1\mu_1(C)\mu_2(N)), \\ \dot{N} = \theta_3(\beta X + b - d_3N - \frac{X}{\delta_2}r_2\mu_1(C)\mu_2(N)), \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where the constant $d_1 > 0$ is the mortality rate of *Chlorella*. The constants $d_2 > 0$ and $d_3 > 0$ are the attrition rates of carbon source and nitrogen source, respectively. The term fX^2 in which $f > 0$ is constant indicates that the growth of *Chlorella* in the culture vessel




Figure 1: Total inflows and residuum of ammonium chloride and potassium nitrate when carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is 20:1

is density-dependent. The terms αX and βX in which $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are constants indicate that the quantity of carbon source and nitrogen source added every 6 hours are proportional to the biomass of *Chlorella* in culture vessel, respectively. The constants $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ represent the constant input of carbon source and nitrogen source, respectively. Since carbon source and nitrogen source are perfectly complementary in the culture of *Chlorella*, the term $r\mu_1(C)\mu_2(N)$ represents the growth rate of *Chlorella*, and the terms $r_1\mu_1(C)\mu_2(N)X$ and $r_2\mu_1(C)\mu_2(N)X$ represent the quantity of decreasing of carbon source and nitrogen source, respectively, where $r > 0$, $r_1 > 0$ and $r_2 > 0$ are constants, and the functions $\mu_1(C)$ and $\mu_2(N)$ are nonnegative and continuous for $C \geq 0$ and $N \geq 0$. δ_i ($i = 1, 2$) are yield coefficients, which are defined as

$$\delta_i = \frac{\text{mass of organism formed}}{\text{mass of substrate consumed}} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

The constants $\theta_1 > 0$, $\theta_2 > 0$ and $\theta_3 > 0$ are relative growth rates of *Chlorella*, carbon source and nitrogen source, respectively.

For simplicity of theoretical analysis, in this paper, the functions $\mu_1(C)$ and $\mu_2(N)$ are chosen as Monod type functions, i.e.,

$$\mu_1(C) = \frac{C}{k_1 + C}, \quad \mu_2(N) = \frac{N}{k_2 + N},$$

where $k_1 > 0$ and $k_2 > 0$ are the half-saturation constants with respect to carbon source and nitrogen source, respectively. The yield coefficients δ_1 and δ_2 are assumed to be constants. Hence, without loss of generality, r_1/δ_1 and r_2/δ_2 are still denoted by r_1 and r_2 , respectively. Therefore, the dynamic model (2.2) can be rewritten in the following

simpler form,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X} = \theta_1 \left(\frac{rCN}{(k_1+C)(k_2+N)} - d_1 - fX \right) X, \\ \dot{C} = \theta_2 \left(\alpha X + a - d_2 C - \frac{r_1 CNX}{(k_1+C)(k_2+N)} \right), \\ \dot{N} = \theta_3 \left(\beta X + b - d_3 N - \frac{r_2 CNX}{(k_1+C)(k_2+N)} \right). \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

2.3 Existence of equilibria

In this subsection, let us consider nonnegativity of the solutions and existence of the equilibria of (2.3).

First, according to biological meanings, the initial condition of (2.3) is given as

$$X(0) = X_0 \geq 0, \quad C(0) = C_0 \geq 0, \quad N(0) = N_0 \geq 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where the constants X_0 , C_0 and N_0 represent the initial mass of *Chlorella*, carbon source and nitrogen source, respectively. From standard theory on existence of solutions of ordinary differential equations (see, for example, [5]), it can be easily shown that the solution $(X(t), C(t), N(t))$ of (2.3) with the initial condition (2.4) is existent, unique and nonnegative for all $t \geq 0$.

Let (X, C, N) be any equilibrium of (2.3). Then, (X, C, N) satisfies the following nonlinear algebraic equations,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{rCNX}{(k_1+C)(k_2+N)} - d_1 X - fX^2 = 0, \\ \alpha X + a - d_2 C - \frac{r_1 CNX}{(k_1+C)(k_2+N)} = 0, \\ \beta X + b - d_3 N - \frac{r_2 CNX}{(k_1+C)(k_2+N)} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

There are two cases to be discussed.

(i) (2.3) always has the boundary equilibrium $E_0 = (X, C, N) = (0, a/d_2, b/d_3)$. The existence of E_0 indicates that, if there is no *Chlorella* to be added into the culture vessel at the beginning of the culture, the concentrations of carbon source and nitrogen source in the culture vessel always maintain the constants values a/d_2 and b/d_3 , respectively. The equilibrium E_0 is also called *Chlorella*-free equilibrium.

(ii) Let (X, C, N) be any equilibrium with $X > 0$, $C > 0$ and $N > 0$. From (2.5), we have that

$$\begin{cases} (d_1 + fX)(k_1 + C)(k_2 + N) = rCN, \\ C = \frac{1}{d_2 r} \{ ar - [(r_1 d_1 - \alpha r)X + r_1 fX^2] \}, \\ N = \frac{1}{d_3 r} \{ br - [(r_2 d_1 - \beta r)X + r_2 fX^2] \}. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Clearly, X should satisfy the conditions

$$ar - [(r_1 d_1 - \alpha r)X + r_1 fX^2] > 0 \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$br - [(r_2d_1 - \beta r)X + r_2fX^2] > 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Substituting the second and the third equations of (2.6) into the first equation of (2.6) gives a fifth order algebraic equation. Hence, under suitable conditions, there may be at most five different positive roots for the fifth order algebraic equation. Let $X = X^*$ be any such positive root which also satisfies the conditions (2.7) and (2.8). Then, from (2.6), $C = C^* > 0$ and $N = N^* > 0$ can be obtained. Therefore, the dynamical model (2.3) at most has five equilibria of the type of $E^* = (X, C, N) = (X^*, C^*, N^*)$ with $X^* > 0$, $C^* > 0$ and $N^* > 0$. The equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*)$ is also called positive equilibrium which indicates that *Chlorella*, carbon source and nitrogen source are coexistent for any time $t \geq 0$.

From the first equation in (2.6), we obtain the function

$$\begin{aligned} F(X) &= (d_1 + fX)(k_1 + C)(k_2 + N) - rCN \\ &= fX(k_1 + C)(k_2 + N) + d_1(k_1k_2 + k_1N + k_2C) + (d_1 - r)CN, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $d_1 < r$ is a necessary condition for a positive equilibrium to exist. In fact, E_0 is globally asymptotically stable when $d_1 \geq r$ (see Theorem 3.3 in Section 3 below).

General speaking, it is not easy to give a complete theoretical analysis for the existence of all the positive equilibria. But, according to the experiment data provided in [24], the approximate estimations of all the positive equilibria can be obtained by Matlab programming.

3 Stability analysis of equilibria

Stability analysis of the equilibria of the dynamic model (2.3) is very important for understanding the growth status of *Chlorella*. In this section, some sufficient conditions are given to ensure global asymptotic stability of boundary equilibrium $E_0 = (0, a/d_2, b/d_3)$ and local asymptotic stability of the positive equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*)$ by standard stability theory of ordinary differential equations (see, for example, [5], [18]).

First of all, for local asymptotic stability of $E_0 = (0, a/d_2, b/d_3)$, we have the following

Theorem 3.1. (i) *If*

$$d_1 > \frac{rab}{(k_1d_2 + a)(k_2d_3 + b)} \triangleq \theta, \quad (3.1)$$

then the boundary equilibrium $E_0 = (0, a/d_2, b/d_3)$ is locally asymptotically stable.

(ii) If $d_1 = \theta$, then the boundary equilibrium $E_0 = (0, a/d_2, b/d_3)$ is linear stable.

(iii) If $d_1 < \theta$, then the boundary equilibrium $E_0 = (0, a/d_2, b/d_3)$ is unstable.

Remark 1. In view of the biological meanings of the parameters in (2.3) and the condition (3.1), Theorem 3.1 indicates that the biomass of *Chlorella* may tend to zero and the mass of carbon source and nitrogen source may tend to the constant values a/d_2 and b/d_3 , respectively, as time t increases, if one of the following two cases occurs: (a) decreasing the value of the constant input rate a or b ; (b) increasing the value of the attrition rate d_2 or d_3 or the mortality rate d_1 . These cases are reasonable, since they imply the insufficient sources for *Chlorella* to grow.

For local asymptotic stability of the positive equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*)$ of the dynamic model (2.3), we have the following

Theorem 3.2. *If the positive equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*)$ exists, and the conditions*

$$br + fr_2X^{*2} - rd_3N^* > 0, \quad ar + fr_1X^{*2} - rd_2C^* > 0 \quad (3.2)$$

hold, then $E^ = (X^*, C^*, N^*)$ is locally asymptotically stable.*

Remark 2. Note that sufficient conditions for (3.2) to hold are $a \geq d_2C^*$ and $b \geq d_3N^*$. Hence, for fixed constants $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, if the attrition rates d_2 and d_3 of carbon source and nitrogen source, respectively, are small enough and the growth rate r of *Chlorella* is large enough, the conditions (2.7), (2.8) and (3.2) can be satisfied. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that the positive equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*)$ is locally asymptotically stable. This implies that *Chlorella*, carbon source and nitrogen source in the culture vessel are coexistent and their masses tend to constant values as time t increases.

Remark 3. There may exist multiple positive equilibria for the dynamical model (2.3). Since complexity of the expression of the positive equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*)$, detailed theoretical and numerical analysis on multi stability are omitted. In Section 4 below, according to the experimental data in [24], the parameters in the dynamical model (2.3) are chosen, and then the value of the positive equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*)$ is computed numerically. Theorem 3.2 and numerical simulations show that the positive equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*)$ is asymptotically stable and that the trajectories of the dynamical model (2.3) fit the trends of the experimental data well.

From the point of view in both mathematics and biology, theoretical analysis on global asymptotic stability of the equilibria of the dynamical model (2.3) has more important significance. Global asymptotical stability of the equilibria E_0 or E^* implies that the asymptotic properties of *Chlorella*, carbon source and nitrogen source in culture vessel are not dependent on the initial values X_0 , C_0 and N_0 of *Chlorella*, carbon source and nitrogen source.

For global asymptotically stability of the boundary equilibrium E_0 , we have the following

Theorem 3.3. *If $d_1 \geq r$, or $d_1 < r$ and*

$$d_1 \geq \frac{r[af + \alpha(r - d_1)][bf + \beta(r - d_1)]}{[f(k_1d_2 + a) + \alpha(r - d_1)][f(k_2d_3 + b) + \beta(r - d_1)]} \triangleq \bar{\theta}, \quad (3.3)$$

then, the boundary equilibrium E_0 is globally asymptotically stable.

Remark 4. The condition $d_1 \geq \bar{\theta}$ in Theorem 3.3 implies the condition $d_1 > \theta$ in Theorem 3.1. Furthermore, numerical simulations strongly suggest that the boundary equilibrium E_0 should also be globally asymptotically stable even if the condition $d_1 \geq \theta$ holds. Therefore, the condition (3.3) in Theorem 3.3 may be further improved.

As for global asymptotic stability of the positive equilibrium E^* , detailed discussions shall be given in other paper.

4 Numerical simulations and discussions

4.1 Numerical simulations

In this subsection, let us discuss how the trajectories of the dynamical model (2.3) fit the experimental data in [24] based on Theorem 3.2 and numerical simulations. To observe the growth status of *Chlorella* in the culture vessel, two sorts of nitrogen sources (i.e., ammonium chloride and potassium nitrate) are used. Carbon source and nitrogen source are added into the culture vessel for every 6 hours with two kinds of carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratios 20:1 and 10:1.

Case (I) Nitrogen source is ammonium chloride and carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is 20:1. By suitable computations, we have from the experimental data in [24] that the parameters in (2.3) can be chosen as follows,

$$r = 0.837, \quad k_1 = 5.926, \quad k_2 = 2.377, \quad f = 0.002, \quad \alpha = 0.2703, \quad a = 0.6545, \quad \beta = 0.022,$$

$$b = 0.0476, r_1 = 1.73, r_2 = 0.14, \theta_1 = 1, \theta_2 = 1, \theta_3 = 1, d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = 0.01.$$

It is easy to check that (2.3) has the positive equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*) \approx (62.5998, 8.2038, 0.9162)$, and that the condition (3.2) holds. Hence, we have from Theorem 3.2 that the positive equilibrium E^* is asymptotically stable. Fig.2 shows that the trajectory of (2.3) obtained by the numerical simulation with the initial value $(X_0, C_0, N_0) = (1.54, 0, 0)$ fits the experimental data in [24] well.




Figure 2: The experimental data and the trajectory of (2.3) when the nitrogen source is ammonium chloride and carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is 20:1

Case (II) Nitrogen source is potassium nitrate and carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is 20:1. By the same computations as Case (I), the parameters in (2.3) can be chosen as follows,

$$r = 0.21, k_1 = 2.908, k_2 = 0.287, f = 0.003, \alpha = 0.2943, a = 0.5665, \beta = 0.0427,$$

$$b = 0.0824, r_1 = 0.42, r_2 = 0.06, \theta_1 = 0.9, \theta_2 = 1, \theta_3 = 1, d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = 0.01.$$

(2.3) has the positive equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*) \approx (47.3691, 9.6855, 4.6436)$ which is asymptotically stable. Fig.3 shows that the numerical simulation trajectory of (2.3) with the initial value $(X_0, C_0, N_0) = (1.63, 0, 0)$ fits the experimental data in [24] well.

Case (III) Nitrogen source is ammonium chloride and carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is 10:1. The parameters in (2.3) can be chosen as follows,

$$r = 0.408, k_1 = 5.168, k_2 = 0.496, f = 0.003, \alpha = 0.2501, a = 0.6324, \beta = 0.0409,$$

$$b = 0.0891, r_1 = 0.575, r_2 = 0.093, \theta_1 = 0.7, \theta_2 = 1, \theta_3 = 1, d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = 0.01.$$

(2.3) has the positive equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*) \approx (58.1376, 6.2921, 2.3099)$ which is asymptotically stable. Fig.4 shows that the numerical simulation trajectory of (2.3) with the initial value $(X_0, C_0, N_0) = (1.57, 0, 0)$ fits the experimental data in [24] well.




Figure 3: The experimental data and the trajectory of (2.3) when the nitrogen source is potassium nitrate and carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is 20:1




Figure 4: The experimental data and the trajectory of (2.3) when the nitrogen source is ammonium chloride and carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is 10:1

Case (IV) Nitrogen source is potassium nitrate and carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is 10:1. The parameters in (2.3) can be chosen as follows,

$$r = 0.279, k_1 = 6.819, k_2 = 0.145, f = 0.003, \alpha = 0.2925, a = 0.521, \beta = 0.0846,$$

$$b = 0.1539, r_1 = 0.45, r_2 = 0.13, \theta_1 = 0.8, \theta_2 = 0.6, \theta_3 = 0.8, d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = 0.01.$$

(2.3) has the positive equilibrium $E^* = (X^*, C^*, N^*) \approx (58.4398, 14.6835, 5.1652)$ which is asymptotically stable. Fig.5 shows that the numerical simulation trajectory of (2.3) with the initial value $(X_0, C_0, N_0) = (1.8, 0, 0)$ fits the experimental data in [24] well.




Figure 5: The experimental data and the trajectory of (2.3) when the nitrogen source is potassium nitrate and carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio is 10:1

4.2 Discussions

First, the numerical simulations show that the dynamic model (2.3) can describe the growth status of *Chlorella* in the culture vessel in [24] very well. The numerical simulations further suggest that, in the culture of *Chlorella*, the growth of *Chlorella* is in delay phase from 0 hour to 12 hours, in the accelerated phase from 12 hours to 24 hours, in exponential growth phase from 24 hours to 40 hours, and in deceleration phase from 40 hours to 60 hours. Furthermore, we have from Figs 2-5 that, for Cases (I), (II), (III) and (IV) in Subsection 4.1, the saturated biomass of *Chlorella* are about $X^* \approx 62.5998$, $X^* \approx 47.3691$, $X^* \approx 58.1376$ and $X^* \approx 58.4398$, respectively. When the culture time is limited 36 hours (see, for example, [24]), the experimental data shows that the biomass of *Chlorella* in the culture vessel are approximately 53.51% ($33.5/62.5998 \approx 0.5351$), 56.15% ($26.6/47.3691 \approx 0.5615$), 60.31% ($35.06/58.1376 \approx 0.6031$) and 53.65% ($31.35/58.4399 \approx 0.5365$) of the saturated biomass, respectively. If the culture time is extended to 60 hours, the numerical simulations in Subsection 4.1 shows that the biomass of *Chlorella* are approximately 95.93% ($60.05/62.5998 \approx 0.9593$), 97.68% ($46.27/47.3691 \approx 0.9768$), 97.56% ($56.72/58.1376 \approx 0.9756$) and 97.62% ($57.05/58.4399 \approx 0.9762$) of the saturated biomass, respectively.

Remark 5. The purpose of the paper is to model the growth of *Chlorella* USTB01 which have some special characteristics in applications and proposes an ordinary differential equation model (2.3) according to the experimental procedures in [24]. The model (2.3)

can be revised as the following more general form with time delays,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = \theta_1 \left(\frac{r e^{-d_1 \tau} C(t-\tau) N(t-\tau) X(t-\tau)}{(k_1 + C(t-\tau))(k_2 + N(t-\tau))} - d_1 X(t) - f X^2(t) \right), \\ \dot{C}(t) = \theta_2 \left(\alpha X(t) + a - d_2 C(t) - \frac{r_1 C(t) N(t) X(t)}{(k_1 + C(t))(k_2 + N(t))} + \rho_1 X(t - \sigma) \right), \\ \dot{N}(t) = \theta_3 \left(\beta X(t) + b - d_3 N(t) - \frac{r_2 C(t) N(t) X(t)}{(k_1 + C(t))(k_2 + N(t))} + \rho_2 X(t - \sigma) \right). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

In (4.1), the constants $\rho_1 \geq 0$ and $\rho_2 \geq 0$ are the rate constants at which carbon source and nitrogen source are recycled because of the death of *Chlorella*. The constant $\tau \geq 0$ denotes the time delay involved in the conversion of nutrients to *Chlorella*. The constant $\sigma \geq 0$ is a fixed time during which carbon source and nitrogen source are released completely from dead *Chlorella*. The factor $e^{-d_1 \tau}$ represents the approximate proportion of *Chlorella* that remain in the culture vessel during the conversion process.

Theoretical analysis on stability of the equilibria of (4.1) and its applications in the research of the control strategies of algal toxins of blooms of the local lakes and rivers in Beijing city shall be given in the other papers.

References

- [1] F. Chen and Y. Jiang, *Microalgal Biotechnology*, Chinese Light Industry Press, Beijing, 1999.
- [2] A. Cunningham and P. Maas, *Time lag and nutrient storage effects in the transient growth response of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii in nitrogen-limited batch and continuous culture*, J. Gen. Microbiol., **104** (1978), 227–231.
- [3] A. Cunningham and R. M. Nisbet, *Time lag and co-operativity in the transient growth dynamics of microalgae*, J. Theor. Biol., **84** (1980), 189–203.
- [4] H. Endo, H. Hosoya and T. Koibuchi, *Growth yields of Chlorella regularis in dark-heterotrophic continuous cultures using acetate*, J. Ferment. Technol., **55** (1977), 369–379.
- [5] J. K. Hale, “Ordinary Differential Equations,” Second edition, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Company, Inc., Huntington, New York, 1980.
- [6] S. Han, Z. Zhang and H. Liu, *Effects of Chlorella growth factor on physiological function*, Chinese J. Biochem. Pharmaceutics, **25** (2004), 5–7.

- [7] F. Khacik, *Process for isolation, purification, and recrystallization of lutein from saponified marigold oleoresin and uses thereof: US patent*, 5382714, 1995-01-17.
- [8] J. T. Landrum and R. A. Bone, *Lutein, zeaxanthin, and the macular pigment*, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., **385** (2001), 28–40.
- [9] L. W. Levy, *Trans-xanthophyll ester concentrates of enhanced purity and method of making same: US patent*, 6191293, 2001-02-20.
- [10] S. Liu, H. Meng, S. Liang, J. Yin and P. Mai, *High-density heterotrophic culture of Chlorella Vulgaris in bioreactor*, J. South China Univ. Tech., **28** (2000), 81–86.
- [11] D. L. Madhavi and D. I. Kagan, *Process for the isolation of mixed carotenoids from plants: US patent*, 6380442, 2002-04-30.
- [12] J. C. Ogbonna, H. Masui and H. Tanaka, *Sequential heterotrophic / autotrophic cultivation - An efficient method of producing Chlorella biomass for health food and animal feed*, J. Appl. Phycol., **9** (1997), 359–366.
- [13] J. C. Ogbonna, S. Tomiyama and H. Tanaka, *Heterotrophic cultivation of Euglena gracilis Z for efficient production of α -tocopherol*, J. Appl. Phycol., **10** (1998), 67–74.
- [14] T. Philip, *Purification of lutein-fatty acid esters from plant materials: US patent*, 4048203, 1977-09-13.
- [15] K. Sasaki, K. Watanabe, T. Tanaka, Y. Hotta and S. Nagai, *5-aminolevulinic acid production by Chlorella sp. during heterotrophic cultivation in the dark*, World J. Microbiol. Biotech., **11** (1995), 361–362.
- [16] X. Shi, H. Liu, X. Zhang and F. Chen, *Production of biomass and lutein by Chlorella protothecoides at various glucose concentrations in heterotrophic cultures*, Process Biochem., **34** (1999), 341–347.
- [17] X. Shi, X. Zhang and F. Chen, *Heterotrophic production of biomass and lutein Chlorella protothecoides on various nitrogen sources*, Enzyme Microb. Technol., **27** (2000), 312–318.
- [18] H. L. Smith and P. Waltman, “The Theory of the Chemostat. Dynamics of Microbial Competition,” Cambridge Studies in Mathematical Biology, **13**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

- [19] L. V. Thinh and D. J. Griffiths, *Amino-acid composition of autotrophic and heterotrophic cultures of emerson strain of Chlorella*, Plant Cell Physiol., **17** (1976), 193–196.
- [20] S. Wang, H. Yan, B. Zhang, L. Lv and H. Lin, *Effects of various nitrogen sources and phytohormones on growth and content of lutein in Chlorella sp. USTB01*, Sci. Tech. Review, **23** (2005), 37–40.
- [21] K. Yamaguchi, *Recent advances in microalgal bioscience in Japan, with special reference to utilization of biomass and metabolites: A review*, J. Appl. Phycol., **8** (1996), 487–502.
- [22] H. Yan, C. Ye and C. Yin, *Kinetics of phthalate esters biodegradation by Chlorella pyrenoidosa*, Environ. Toxicol. Chem., **14** (1995), 931–938.
- [23] H. Yan and G. Pan, *Toxicity and bioaccumulation of copper in three green microalgal species*, Chemosphere, **49** (2002), 471–476.
- [24] H. Yan, J. Zhou, H. He, Y. Wei and J. Sun, *Isolation and heterotrophic culture of Chlorella sp.*, J. Univ. Sci. Tech. Beijing, **27** (2005), 408–412.
- [25] H. Yan, B. Zhang, S. Wang, Y. Li, S. Liu and S. Yang, *Advances in the heterotrophic culture of Chlorella sp.*, Modern Chem. Indust., **27** (2007), 18–21.
- [26] H. Zhang, S. Sun, K. Mai and Y. Liang, *Advances in the studies on heterotrophic culture of microalgae*, Trans. Oceanology Limnology, (2000), 51–59.
- [27] L. Zhang, R. Yang and H. Xiao, *The heterotrophic culture of Chlorella and the optimization of growth condition*, Guhaia, **24** (2001), 353–357.
- [28] H. Zhou, W. Lin and T. Chen, *The heterotrophy and applications of Chlorella*, Amino Acids Biotic Resources, **27** (2005), 69–73.